EXHIBIT 26 REDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED

```
1
                UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2
              NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3
                   SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
        - - - - - - - x
4
5
     HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD.; :
6
     HUAWEI DEVICE USA, INC.; and :
7
    HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES USA, INC., :
8
         Plaintiffs/Counterclaim- :
9
         Defendants,
10
     v.
                                    : Case No.
11
     SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD; : 16-cv-02787-WHO
12
    SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,:
1.3
         Defendants/Counterclaim- :
14
         Plaintiffs,
15
      - - - - - - X
16
     (Caption continued on next page)
17
        HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
18
      Videotaped Deposition of PAUL R. PRUCNAL, Ph.D.
19
                      Washington, DC
20
                    Friday, June 15, 2018
21
                         9:12 a.m.
22
23
     Job No.: 193645
24
     Pages 1 - 92
25
     Reported by: Debra A. Whitehead
```

Case 3:16-cv-02787-WHO Document 344-9 Filed 07/09/18 Page 3 of 15

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Transcript of Paul R. Prucnal, Ph.D.

Conducted on June 15, 2018

1	(Caption continued from previous page)
2	X
3	SAMSUNG RESEARCH AMERICA, :
4	Defendant, :
5	v. :
6	HISILICON TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD., :
7	Counterclaim-Defendant. :
8	X
9	Videotaped Deposition of PAUL R. PRUCNAL,
10	Ph.D., held at the offices of:
11	
12	SIDLEY AUSTIN, LLP
13	1501 K Street, NW
14	Washington, DC 20005
15	(202) 736-8000
16	
17	
18	
19	Pursuant to notice, before Debra A. Whitehead,
20	an Approved Reporter of the United States District
21	Court and Notary Public of the District of Columbia.
22	
23	
24	
25	

Case 3:16-cv-02787-WHO Document 344-9 Filed 07/09/18 Page 4 of 15

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Transcript of Paul R. Prucnal, Ph.D.

Conducted on June 15, 2018

1		i
1	PROCEEDINGS	
2	VIDEO SPECIALIST: Here begins Disk	08:58:14
3	Number 1 in the videotaped deposition of Paul R.	09:11:46
4	Prucnal in the matter of Huawei Technologies Co.,	09:11:50
5	Ltd., et al., versus Samsung Electronics Co.,	09:11:55
6	Ltd., et al., in the United States District Court,	09:12:01
7	Northern District of California, San Francisco	09:12:05
8	Division; Case Number 16-CV-02787-WHO.	09:12:09
9	Today's date is June 15th, 2018. The	09:12:18
10	time on the video monitor is 9:12. The	09:12:21
11	videographer today is Christie Jeon, representing	09:12:27
12	Planet Depos. This video deposition is taking	09:12:29
13	place at 1501 K Street, Northwest, Washington, DC.	09:12:33
14	20005.	09:12:42
15	Would counsel please voice-identify	09:12:43
16	themselves and state whom they represent.	09:12:47
17	MR. LORDGOOEI: Iman Lordgooei of Quinn	09:12:49
18	Emanuel, representing the Samsung entities.	09:12:52
19	MR. LEWIS: Douglas Lewis, Sidley Austin,	09:12:55
20	LLP, representing the Huawei entities.	09:12:58
21	The court reporter today is Debbie	09:13:00
22	Whitehead, representing Planet Depos. Would the	09:13:02
23	reporter please swear in the witness.	09:13:05
24	PAUL PRUCNAL,	09:13:05
25	having been duly sworn, testified as follows:	09:13:14

Case 3:16-cv-02787-WHO Document 344-9 Filed 07/09/18 Page 5 of 15

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Transcript of Paul R. Prucnal, Ph.D.

Conducted on June 15, 2018

		1
1	You're asking me whether it's solely user	11:03:57
2	data. And I don't see anything here that says	11:04:00
3	there couldn't be something else with the user	11:04:04
4	data going through the FFT. But this is only	11:04:08
5	describing the user data going through the FFT.	11:04:10
6	Q So you're saying as one of skill in the	11:04:12
7	art, from the description there of data, you can't	11:04:15
8	be sure that it doesn't also include control	11:04:18
9	information or signaling information?	11:04:21
10	MR. LORDGOOEI: Objection. Form.	11:04:24
11	A To say 100 percent that there's nothing	11:04:44
12	else included with the user data, to me it would	11:04:47
13	have to say that. I I can confirm that there's	11:04:50
14	user data going through the FFT, and these other	11:04:55
15	examples of control signals are not going through	11:04:57
16	the IFFT I'm sorry, are not going through the	11:05:00
17	FFT before reaching the IFFT.	11:05:04
18	Q Do you agree that acknowledgment,	11:05:06
19	negative acknowledgment AK, NAK is a form of	11:05:12
20	control information?	11:05:16
21	MR. LORDGOOEI: Objection. Form.	11:05:19
22	A Yes. I agree that control information	11:05:35
23	could include acknowledgment and negative	11:05:40
24	acknowledgment.	11:05:42
25	Q Does control information include channel	11:05:43

Case 3:16-cv-02787-WHO Document 344-9 Filed 07/09/18 Page 6 of 15

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Transcript of Paul R. Prucnal, Ph.D.

Conducted on June 15, 2018

,	Conducted on June 15, 2018 47	,
1	quality indicator, CQI?	11:05:48
2	MR. LORDGOOEI: Objection. Form.	11:05:56
3	A Yes, control information can include the	11:06:57
4	channel quality indicator, CQI.	11:07:00
5	Q Does control information include pilots?	11:07:03
6	MR. LORDGOOEI: Objection. Form.	11:07:14
7	A Yes. Control information in this context	11:07:58
8	can be a pilot.	11:08:02
9	Q Is the DRS, or DMRS, a pilot in LTE?	11:08:04
10	MR. LORDGOOEI: Objection. Form.	11:08:14
11	A The DMRS is a type of reference signal	11:08:31
12	that's used in demodulation. I'm not certain at	11:08:35
13	this point if it's also referred to as a pilot. I	11:08:44
14	think I talk about that in my report.	11:08:47
15	Q Is it a pilot?	11:08:50
16	A I don't think I've opined on this.	11:10:46
17	Looking back on my report, I don't see a reference	11:10:48
18	to DMS DMRS being a pilot.	11:10:52
19	Q As you sit here right now, is the DMRS a	11:10:57
20	pilot in LTE?	11:11:01
21	A I don't want to speculate off the top of	11:11:12
22	my head. I have an understanding of what the DMRS	11:11:14
23	does, but I don't recall specifically if it's	11:11:18
24	referred to as a pilot in LTE.	11:11:21
25	Q So you have no opinion about whether or	11:11:24

Case 3:16-cv-02787-WHO Document 344-9 Filed 07/09/18 Page 7 of 15

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Transcript of Paul R. Prucnal, Ph.D.

Conducted on June 15, 2018

1		1
1	of a report. And I don't even see whether he's	11:43:59
2	referring to PUSCH or PUCCH here. It's just out	11:44:02
3	of context. So I I can't interpret it.	11:44:05
4	Q Okay. Do you interpret "every symbol" to	11:44:08
5	not mean every symbol?	11:44:11
6	MR. LORDGOOEI: Objection. Form.	11:44:13
7	A Again, out of context, I don't know I	11:44:20
8	don't know what the context of "every symbol" is,	11:44:25
9	whether he's referring to every symbol in every	11:44:28
10	frame, every symbol in frames that occur	11:44:32
11	sometimes. I don't know whether it's PUSCH or	11:44:35
12	PUCCH.	11:44:38
13	I I assume that he didn't intend to	11:44:42
14	say every symbol in every frame of the PUSCH. And	11:44:44
15	if that was his intention, I I think perhaps he	11:44:50
16	misspoke.	11:44:53
17	Q Or he's wrong.	11:44:54
18	MR. LORDGOOEI: Objection. Form.	11:44:55
19	A Well, again, out of context, I don't even	11:44:56
20	know I can't tell you what he's talking about	11:45:04
21	here without looking at the context.	11:45:06
22	Q Well, if he says, Every symbol in every	11:45:07
23	frame of the PUSCH, do you think he's wrong?	11:45:10
24	MR. LORDGOOEI: Objection. Form.	11:45:13
25	A I know that not every symbol of the PUSCH	11:45:18
		1

Case 3:16-cv-02787-WHO Document 344-9 Filed 07/09/18 Page 8 of 15

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Transcript of Paul R. Prucnal, Ph.D.

Conducted on June 15, 2018

		1
1	necessarily contains CQI. And, therefore, I'm	11:45:25
2	assuming that that's what he did not intend to say	11:45:31
3	here. But I don't know his intention. I don't	11:45:34
4	know the context. And I really can't sort of	11:45:36
5	guess further.	11:45:39
6	Q Let's talk about the second sentence	11:45:40
7	there. "Each symbol, in other words, consists of	11:45:42
8	coded CQI and data information mapped over	11:45:46
9	different subcarriers."	11:45:49
10	Do you see that?	11:45:50
11	A Yes.	11:45:56
12	Q Do you agree with Dr. Bambos' statement	11:45:57
13	in that sentence?	11:45:59
14	MR. LORDGOOEI: Objection. Form.	11:46:00
15	A I also don't know what he's intending to	11:46:15
16	say. Because this is out of context, and I	11:46:17
17	haven't I haven't read this material that	11:46:20
18	precedes it.	11:46:24
19	He he's pointing to a paragraph	11:46:27
20	excerpt that follows it. I also am not familiar	11:46:29
21	with that.	11:46:33
22	Q So if Dr. Bambos means every symbol by	11:46:36
23	"each symbol," do you agree with his statement in	11:46:40
24	the second sentence of Paragraph 564 of his	11:46:44
25	report?	11:46:51
		1

Case 3:16-cv-02787-WHO Document 344-9 Filed 07/09/18 Page 9 of 15

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Transcript of Paul R. Prucnal, Ph.D.

	Conducted on June 15, 2018 68	
1		11:51:44
2		11:51:48
3		11:51:55
4		11:51:59
5		11:52:46
6		11:52:51
7		11:52:51
8		11:52:53
9		11:52:56
10		11:52:59
11		11:53:03
12		11:53:18
13		11:53:35
14		11:53:38
15		11:53:50
16		11:54:01
17		11:54:08
18		11:54:13
19		11:54:17
20		11:54:19
21		11:54:25
22		11:54:27
23		11:54:28
24		11:54:28
25		11:54:30

Case 3:16-cv-02787-WHO Document 344-9 Filed 07/09/18 Page 10 of 15

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Transcript of Paul R. Prucnal, Ph.D. Conducted on June 15, 2018

69

	Conducted on June 13, 2018	
1	MR. LORDGOOEI: Objection. Form.	11:54:35
2		11:56:26
3		11:56:30
4		11:56:34
5		11:56:42
6		11:56:48
7		11:57:04
8		11:57:09
9		11:57:14
10		11:57:20
11		11:57:26
12		11:57:29
13		11:57:33
14		11:57:41
15		11:57:50
16		11:57:59
17		11:58:05
18		11:58:10
19		11:58:13
20		11:58:30
21		11:58:44
22		11:58:46
23		11:58:58
24		11:58:58
25		11:59:02

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

Case 3:16-cv-02787-WHO Document 344-9 Filed 07/09/18 Page 11 of 15

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Transcript of Paul R. Prucnal, Ph.D.

Conducted on June 15, 2018

	,	I
1	Q And the cell-specific parameter is	12:38:49
2	signaled by one or more higher layers. Right?	12:38:52
3	A It says that, yes.	12:39:00
4	Q Okay. Let's take a look at your report,	12:39:02
5	which is Exhibit 824. If I could direct you to	12:39:11
6	Paragraph 208 on Page 91.	12:39:15
7	A Okay.	12:39:28
8	Q The second sentence reads, "A	12:39:28
9	cell-specific broadcast message is a higher layer	12:39:31
10	signal transmitted by the base station and	12:39:35
11	includes cell-specific parameters that apply to	12:39:38
12	all mobile devices in the specific cell being	12:39:40
13	serviced by the base station."	12:39:43
14	Do you see that?	12:39:45
15	A Yes, I do.	12:39:46
16	Q Okay. And that's your understanding of	12:39:47
17	what a cell-specific message is?	12:39:49
18	MR. LORDGOOEI: Objection. Form.	12:39:54
19	A Well, this is this is definitely part	12:40:24
20	of my my opinion. There might be other things	12:40:26
21	that I said in here about it, I just I don't	12:40:30
22	know offhand.	12:40:32
23	Q So a cell-specific refers to signaling	12:40:33
24	that's the same for each of the terminals in a	12:40:38
25	cell. Right?	12:40:40

Case 3:16-cv-02787-WHO Document 344-9 Filed 07/09/18 Page 12 of 15

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Transcript of Paul R. Prucnal, Ph.D.

Conducted on June 15, 2018

1	MR. LORDGOOEI: Objection. Form.	12:40:49
2	A So this is talking about the broadcast	12:40:53
3	message from the base station. And that includes	12:40:57
4	a cell-specific parameter that is broadcast from	12:41:06
5	the base station to all mobile devices. And it	12:41:09
6	applies to all the mobile devices in the cell.	12:41:11
7	So the the same thing is is	12:41:16
8	broadcast to all the mobile devices in the cell.	12:41:19
9	Q Are you familiar with something called	12:41:25
10	mobile-input [sic] multiple-output in LTE?	12:41:48
11	A I'm generally familiar with that, yes.	12:41:55
12	Q What is are you familiar with it being	12:41:56
13	referred to by the acronym M-I-M-O?	12:42:00
14	A Yes, MIMO.	12:42:03
15	Q What is MIMO in LTE?	12:42:04
16	A It's multiple-input multiple-output.	12:42:07
17	Q Does MIMO refer to the use of multiple	12:42:10
18	antennas?	12:42:12
19	MR. LORDGOOEI: Objection. Form.	12:42:13
20	A Generally MIMO has more than one antenna,	12:42:24
21	it's multiple-input multiple-output.	12:42:27
22	Q In LTE, can MIMO use a two-antenna or	12:42:29
23	four-antenna scheme for transmit diversity?	12:42:41
24	MR. LORDGOOEI: Objection. Form.	12:42:46
25	A I don't recall exactly. I know that two	12:43:12

Case 3:16-cv-02787-WHO Document 344-9 Filed 07/09/18 Page 13 of 15

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Transcript of Paul R. Prucnal, Ph.D.

ı	Conducted on June 15, 2018	89
1	HW Samsung SC 00000020. And the same Bates number	13:13:37
2	with 21 rather than 20 at the end.	13:13:56
3	Q So are these the Bates numbers that you	13:13:58
4	intended to reference in your report?	13:14:05
5	A Yes. These were not included, and should	13:14:07
6	have been. I intended to.	13:14:10
7	Q Okay. And did you bring any other	13:14:13
8	documents with you today?	13:14:15
9	A Yes.	13:14:17
10	Q What else did you bring with you?	13:14:18
11	MR. LEWIS: I object as beyond the scope	13:14:26
12	of the direct.	13:14:28
13		13:14:30
14		13:14:36
15		13:14:42
16		13:14:48
17		13:14:59
18	(Deposition Exhibit 834 marked for	13:14:59
19	identification and is attached to the transcript.)	13:15:02
20	Q Let me hand you Exhibit 834.	13:15:02
21	MR. LEWIS: Object to this exhibit as	13:15:05
22	beyond the scope of the direct.	13:15:06
23	Q Do you recognize Exhibit 834?	13:15:07
24	A Yes.	13:15:10
25	Q What is that document?	13:15:10

Case 3:16-cv-02787-WHO Document 344-9 Filed 07/09/18 Page 14 of 15

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Transcript of Paul R. Prucnal, Ph.D.

Conducted on June 15, 2018	90

1	MR. LEWIS: Objection. Beyond the scope	13:15:16
2	of the direct.	13:15:18
3		13:15:19
4		13:15:25
5		13:15:35
6		13:15:39
7		13:15:48
8		13:15:55
9		13:15:58
10	MR. LORDGOOEI: Okay. I have no further	13:16:02
11	questions.	13:16:04
12	MR. LEWIS: I don't have any.	13:16:04
13	MR. LORDGOOEI: And before we go off, I'd	13:16:08
14	like to request to read and sign, and also mark	13:16:11
15	the transcript highly confidential, attorneys'	13:16:15
16	eyes only.	13:16:20
17	VIDEO SPECIALIST: This marks the end of	13:16:22
18	the deposition of Paul R. Prucnal. We are going	13:16:23
19	off the record at 1:16.	13:16:26
20	(Off the record at 1:16 p.m.)	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Case 3:16-cv-02787-WHO Document 344-9 Filed 07/09/18 Page 15 of 15

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Transcript of Paul R. Prucnal, Ph.D.

Conducted on June 15, 2018

1	CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER - NOTARY PUBLIC
2	I, Debra Ann Whitehead, the officer before whom
3	the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby
4	certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and
5	correct record of the testimony given; that said
6	testimony was taken by me stenographically and
7	thereafter reduced to typewriting under my
8	direction; that reading and signing was requested;
9	and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor
10	employed by any of the parties to this case and have
11	no interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.
12	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and
13	affixed my notarial seal this 19th day of June,
14	2018.
15	
16	My commission expires:
17	September 14, 2018
18	WOTAN WATER
19	Duly Swithed
20	
21	
22	NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE
23	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
24	
25	